

# Virasoro constraints for sheaf moduli spaces via wall-crossing

Miguel Moreira  
ETHZ

Joint with Arkadij Bojko and Woonam Lim

UIC  
Algebraic Geometry Seminar  
19 September 2022

## History of Virasoro constraints

- In 1990, Witten proposed a conjecture saying that integrals of  $\psi$ -classes in the moduli space of curves  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  satisfy some relations which completely determine them:

$$L_k(Z) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq -1,$$

where  $Z$  is the generating function of these integrals and  $L_k$  are differential operators satisfying the Virasoro bracket

$$[L_k, L_\ell] = (\ell - k)L_{k+\ell}.$$

- Witten's conjecture was proven in 1992 by Kontsevich. Alternative proofs by Okounkov-Pandharipande and Mirzakhani were found later.
- Eguchi-Hori-Xiong propose in 1997 a generalization to the Gromov-Witten (GW) theory of a target variety  $X$ .

# History of Virasoro constraints

- In 2006, Maulik-Nekrasov-Okounkov-Pandharipande (MNOP) propose a conjecture connecting Gromov-Witten invariants on 3-folds to Donaldson-Thomas (DT) invariants, defined using the moduli space of ideal sheaves.
- An analog of Virasoro constraints should exist in DT theory! Oblomkov-Okounkov-Pandharipande make a precise conjecture by calculations in  $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ .
- In 2020, with Oblomkov-Okounkov-Pandharipande we prove that the MNOP correspondence intertwines the GW Virasoro and the DT Virasoro constraints (in stationary regime).
- This proves Virasoro constraints for the DT theory of toric 3-folds with stationary descendents.

# History of Virasoro constraints

- In 2020 I used the previous result to prove a version of Virasoro constraints for the Hilbert scheme of points on simply-connected surfaces.
- In 2021 D. van Bree conjectures a generalization of the Hilbert scheme result to moduli spaces of stable sheaves on surfaces.
- Much more general?...

# Today

I will explain joint work with A. Bojko and W. Lim containing:

- General formulation of Virasoro for moduli spaces of sheaves and pairs.
- How the Virasoro constraints are naturally formulated using the vertex algebra that D. Joyce introduced to study wall-crossing.
- Virasoro constraints are compatible with wall-crossing.
- A proof of the Virasoro constraints for moduli spaces of stable sheaves on curves and surfaces with  $h^{0,1} = h^{0,2} = 0$  (either torsion-free or dimension 1 sheaves).

# Moduli spaces of sheaves

We consider moduli spaces  $M$  of stable sheaves on a smooth projective variety  $X$  (typically of small dimension) such that:

- 1 There are no strictly semistable sheaves in  $M$ .
- 2 There is an (in principle non-unique) universal sheaf  $\mathbb{G}$  in  $X \times M$ ;  $\mathbb{G}$  is such that  $\mathbb{G}|_{X \times \{[G]\}} \cong G$ . Tensoring  $\mathbb{G}$  by a line bundle pulled back from  $M$  gives another universal sheaf.
- 3  $M$  admits a 2-term perfect obstruction theory with deformation theory at  $[G] \in M$  given by

$$\text{Tan} = \text{Ext}^1(G, G), \text{Obs} = \text{Ext}^2(G, G), 0 = \text{Ext}^{>2}(G, G).$$

It follows that there is a virtual fundamental class  $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{\bullet}(M)$ .

# Moduli spaces of pairs

Let  $V$  be a fixed sheaf. We also consider moduli spaces of pairs  $P$  parametrizing a sheaf  $F$  together with a map  $V \rightarrow F$ .

- 1 There are no strictly semistable pairs in  $P$ .
- 2 There is a unique (!) universal pair  $q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  in  $X \times P$ .
- 3  $P$  admits a 2-term perfect obstruction theory with deformation theory at  $(V \rightarrow F) \in P$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tan} &= \text{Ext}^0([V \rightarrow F], F), \quad \text{Obs} = \text{Ext}^1([V \rightarrow F], F), \\ 0 &= \text{Ext}^{>1}([V \rightarrow F], F). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is a virtual fundamental class  $[P]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{\bullet}(P)$ .

# Descendents

To get numerical invariants from  $M$  we integrate certain natural cohomology classes against the virtual fundamental class.

## Definition (Descendent algebra)

Let  $\mathbb{D}^X$  be the free (super)commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by symbols

$$\text{ch}_i^H(\gamma) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^\bullet(X).$$

## Definition (Geometric realization of descendents)

Let  $M$  be a moduli of sheaves with a universal sheaf  $\mathbb{G}$  in  $X \times M$ . Define the geometric realization morphism  $\xi_{\mathbb{G}}: \mathbb{D}^X \rightarrow H^\bullet(M)$  by

$$\xi_{\mathbb{G}} \left( \text{ch}_i^H(\gamma) \right) = p_* \left( \text{ch}_{i+\dim(X)-s}(\mathbb{G}) q^* \gamma \right) \in H^\bullet(M)$$

for  $\gamma \in H^{s,t}(X)$ .  $p, q$  are the projections of the product onto  $M$  and  $X$ , respectively.



# Descendents for pairs

There is an analogous definition for pairs:

## Definition (Pair descendent algebra)

Let  $\mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}} \cong \mathbb{D}^X \otimes \mathbb{D}^X$  be the free (super)commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by symbols

$$\text{ch}_i^{H, \mathcal{V}}(\gamma), \text{ch}_i^{H, \mathcal{F}}(\gamma) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^\bullet(X).$$

## Definition (Geometric realization of pair descendents)

Let  $P$  be a moduli of sheaves with a universal pair  $q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  in  $X \times P$ . Define the geometric realization morphism by

$$\xi_{q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}}(\text{ch}_i^{H, \mathcal{F}}(\gamma)) = p_*(\text{ch}_{i+\dim(X)-s}(\mathbb{F})q^*\gamma),$$

$$\xi_{q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}}(\text{ch}_i^{H, \mathcal{V}}(\gamma)) = p_*(\text{ch}_{i+\dim(X)-s}(q^*V)q^*\gamma) = \delta_{i0} \int_X \text{ch}(V)\gamma.$$

# Virasoro operators

## Definition

For  $n \geq -1$  define the operators  $L_n: \mathbb{D}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^X$  by  $L_n = R_n + T_n$  where:

- 1 The operator  $R_n: \mathbb{D}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^X$  is a derivation defined on generators by

$$R_n \text{ch}_i^H(\gamma) = \left( \prod_{j=0}^n (i+j) \right) \text{ch}_{i+n}^H(\gamma).$$

- 2 The operator  $T_k: \mathbb{D}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^X$  is the multiplication by the element of  $\mathbb{D}^X$  given by

$$T_n = \sum_{i+j=n} i!j! \sum_s (-1)^{\dim X - \rho_s^L} \text{ch}_i^H(\gamma_s^L) \text{ch}_j^H(\gamma_s^R),$$

where  $\sum_s \gamma_s^L \otimes \gamma_s^R = \Delta_* \text{td}(X)$ .

# Virasoro operators

They satisfy the Virasoro bracket:

$$[L_n, L_m] = (m - n)L_{n+m}.$$

There is also a version  $L_n^{\text{pa}} : \mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}}$  for pairs. The main difference is in the  $T_n$  operator:

$$T_n^{\text{pa}} = \sum_{i+j=n} i!j! \sum_s (-1)^{\dim X - p_s^L} \text{ch}_i^{H, \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{V}}(\gamma_s^L) \text{ch}_j^{H, \mathcal{F}}(\gamma_s^R).$$

# Virasoro constraints for pairs

## Conjecture (Virasoro for pairs)

Let  $P$  be a moduli space of pairs with universal pair  $q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . For any  $D \in \mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}}$  and  $n \geq 0$  we have

$$\int_{[P]^{\text{vir}}} \xi_{q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}} (L_n^{\text{pa}}(D)) = 0.$$

# Virasoro constraints for sheaves

Let

$$L_{\text{inv}} = \sum_{n \geq -1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} L_n R_{-1}^{n+1}.$$

Fact

*The geometric realization  $\xi_{\mathbb{G}}(L_{\text{inv}}(D)) \in H^*(M)$  does not depend on the choice of universal sheaf  $\mathbb{G}$ .*

Conjecture (Virasoro for sheaves)

*Let  $M$  be a moduli space of sheaves. For any  $D \in \mathbb{D}^X$  we have*

$$\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} L_{\text{inv}}(D) = 0.$$

## Example – rank 2 sheaves on a curve

Let  $M = M_C(2, \Delta)$  be the moduli space of stable bundles on a curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  with rank 2 and fixed determinant  $\Delta$  of odd degree; this is a smooth moduli space of dimension  $3g - 3$ .

All integrals of descendants on  $M$  can be deduced from integrals of products of certain classes

$$\eta \in H^2(M), \quad \theta \in H^4(M), \quad \zeta \in H^6(M).$$

Thaddeus proved:

$$\int_M \eta^m \theta^k \zeta^p = (-1)^{g-1-p} \frac{m!g!}{(g-p)!} 2^{2g-2-p} \frac{(2^q - 2)B_q}{q!},$$

where  $m + 2k + 3p = 3g - 3$  and  $q = m + p - g + 1$ .

The Virasoro constraints for  $M$  are equivalent to

$$(g-p) \int_M \eta^m \theta^k \zeta^p = -2m \int_M \eta^{m-1} \theta^{k-1} \zeta^{p+1}.$$

# Vertex algebras

A vertex algebra is a (graded) vector space  $V_\bullet$  together with the following data:

- 1 A vacuum vector  $|0\rangle \in V_\bullet$ ;
- 2 A translation operator  $T: V_\bullet \rightarrow V_{\bullet+2}$ ;
- 3 A state to field correspondence  $Y: V_\bullet \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\bullet)[[z, z^{-1}]]$  denoted by

$$Y(v, z)u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n u z^{-n-1}.$$

Equivalently,  $Y$  carries the information of the infinite collection of products  $v \otimes u \mapsto v_n u$ .

This data has to satisfy some compatibility axioms (vacuum, translation and locality axioms).

# Conformal element

An element  $\omega \in V_\bullet$  is called a conformal element if the corresponding fields  $L_n = \omega_{n+1} \in \text{End}(V_\bullet)$  defined by

$$Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$$

satisfy

$$L_{-1} = T$$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} c \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \text{id}$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant called the central charge of  $\omega$ .



# Borcherds Lie algebra

Given a Lie algebra, Borcherds showed that the quotient

$$\check{V}_\bullet = V_\bullet / T(V_\bullet)$$

has the structure of a Lie algebra with bracket given by

$$[\bar{v}, \bar{u}] = \overline{v_0 u}.$$

This bracket lifts to  $\check{V}_\bullet \otimes V_\bullet \rightarrow V_\bullet$  for which we still use the same notation:

$$[\bar{u}, v] = u_0 v.$$

# Physical states

There is a vertex algebra notion of physical states that roughly corresponds to elements of  $V_\bullet$  or  $\check{V}_\bullet$  that satisfy Virasoro constraints:

$$P_i = \{v \in V_\bullet : L_n(v) = \delta_{n0}iv, n \geq 0\} \subseteq V_\bullet,$$
$$\check{P}_0 = P_1/T(P_0) \subseteq \check{V}_\bullet.$$

## Proposition

*Under some conditions,  $\bar{u} \in \check{P}_0$  if and only if*

$$0 = [\bar{u}, \omega] = \sum_{n \geq -1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} T^{n+1} L_n(u).$$

# Wall-crossing compatibility

## Proposition

- ① *The subspace  $\check{P}_0 \subseteq \check{V}_\bullet$  is a Lie subalgebra, i.e.*

$$\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \check{P}_0 \Rightarrow [\bar{u}, \bar{v}] \in \check{P}_0.$$

- ② *The subspace  $P_0 \subseteq V_\bullet$  is a Lie algebra subrepresentation of  $\check{P}_0 \subseteq \check{V}_\bullet$ , i.e.*

$$\bar{u} \in \check{P}_0, v \in P_0 \mapsto [\bar{u}, v] \in P_0.$$

This proposition will translate to a compatibility between the Virasoro constraints and wall-crossing in moduli spaces of sheaves!

# Joyce's geometric vertex algebra

Let  $\mathcal{M}_X$  be the (higher) stack parametrizing objects in  $D^b(X)$ , i.e. perfect complexes. If this is scary replace it by the topological version

$$\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{top}} = \text{Maps}_{C^0}(X^{\text{an}}, BU \times \mathbb{Z}).$$

Joyce defined a vertex algebra structure on the homology

$$V_\bullet = H_\bullet(\mathcal{M}_X).$$

The translation operator  $T$  is related to the  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  action  $B\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ . The state-to-field is given explicitly by

$$Y(v, z)u = (-1)^{\chi(\alpha, \beta)} z^{\chi_{\text{sym}}(\alpha, \beta)} \Sigma_* \left( (e^{zT} \boxtimes \text{id})(c_{z^{-1}}(\Theta) \cap v \boxtimes u) \right).$$

$\Theta$  is a complex on  $\mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X$  related to the deformation theory of sheaves.

# Joyce's geometric vertex algebra

There is a pair version. Let  $\mathcal{P}_X = \mathcal{M}_X \times \mathcal{M}_X$  and

$$V_{\bullet}^{\text{pa}} = H_{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}_X).$$

The state-to-field is defined by modifying

$$Y(v, z)u = (-1)^{\chi^{\text{pa}}(\alpha, \beta)} z^{\chi_{\text{sym}}^{\text{pa}}(\alpha, \beta)} \Sigma_* \left( (e^{zT} \boxtimes \text{id})(c_{z^{-1}}(\Theta^{\text{pa}}) \cap v \boxtimes u) \right).$$

$\Theta^{\text{pa}}$  is a complex on  $\mathcal{P}_X \times \mathcal{P}_X$  related to the deformation theory of pairs.

# Joyce invariant classes

If  $M$  is a moduli of sheaves with universal sheaf  $\mathbb{G}$ , by the universal property of  $\mathcal{M}_X$  there is a map  $f_{\mathbb{G}}: M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ . Define

$$[M]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{vir}} = (f_{\mathbb{G}})_* [M]^{\text{vir}} \in V_{\bullet} = H_{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}_X),$$

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} = \overline{[M]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{vir}}} \in \widehat{V}_{\bullet} = V_{\bullet}/T(V_{\bullet}).$$

## Theorem (J. Gross)

*The cohomology  $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}_X)$  is closely related to  $\mathbb{D}^X$ . Integrating descendents against the virtual fundamental class is identified with pairing between a homology and cohomology class in  $\mathcal{M}_X$ , i.e.*

$$\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} \xi_{\mathbb{G}}(D) = \langle [M]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{vir}}, D \rangle.$$

# Wall-crossing

Moduli spaces of sheaves often depend on a choice of a stability parameter  $\mu$ . Denote by  $M_\alpha(\mu)$  the moduli of  $\mu$ -stable sheaves with Chern character equal to  $\alpha$ . Wall-crossing is about trying to understand how  $M_\alpha(\mu)$  and the corresponding numerical invariants change when  $\mu$  changes.

## Theorem (Joyce)

*For every  $\alpha$  there exist classes*

$$[M_\alpha(\mu)]^{\text{Jo}} \in \check{V}_\bullet$$

*even if  $M_\alpha(\mu)$  contains strictly semistable sheaves. When there are no strictly semistables,  $[M_\alpha(\mu)]^{\text{Jo}} = [M_\alpha(\mu)]^{\text{vir}}$ .*

# Wall-crossing

## Theorem (Joyce)

Let  $\mu, \tau$  be two stability conditions. Then

$$[M_\alpha(\mu)]^{\text{Jo}} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l = \alpha} U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \mu, \tau) \times$$
$$[\dots [[M_{\alpha_1}(\tau)]^{\text{Jo}}, [M_{\alpha_2}(\tau)]^{\text{Jo}}], \dots, [M_{\alpha_l}(\tau)]^{\text{Jo}}]$$

in  $\check{V}_\bullet$ , where  $U(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \mu, \tau)$  are some combinatorial coefficients.



# Gross' isomorphism

## Theorem (Gross+BLM)

*Under some conditions, the vertex algebras  $V_\bullet$ ,  $V_\bullet^{\text{pa}}$  are isomorphic to the lattice vertex algebras*

$$V_\bullet \cong \mathbb{C}[K_{\text{sst}}^0(X)] \otimes \text{SSym}[H^\bullet(X)[t]]$$

$$V_\bullet^{\text{pa}} \cong \mathbb{C}[K_{\text{sst}}^0(X)^{\oplus 2}] \otimes \text{SSym}[H^\bullet(X)^{\oplus 2}[t]]$$

*constructed from the bilinear forms on  $H^\bullet(X)$  and  $H^\bullet(X)^{\oplus 2}$  given by*

$$\chi_{\text{sym}}(\gamma, \delta) = \chi(\gamma, \delta) + \chi(\delta, \gamma)$$

$$\chi_{\text{sym}}^{\text{pa}}((\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\delta_1, \delta_2)) = \chi(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1, \delta_2) + \chi(\delta_2 - \delta_1, \gamma_2)$$

*where*

$$\chi(\gamma, \delta) = \int_X (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{\deg \gamma}{2} \rfloor} \gamma \cdot \delta \cdot \text{td}(X).$$

# Conformal element

A lattice vertex algebra constructed from a bilinear pairing  $Q$  comes naturally equipped with a conformal element provided we have two things:

- 1  $Q$  is non-degenerate. In our case,  $\chi_{\text{sym}}^{\text{pa}}$  is non-degenerate but  $\chi_{\text{sym}}$  is not in general.
- 2 We are given an isotropic decomposition of the fermionic part, which in our case is  $H^{\text{odd}}(X)$ .

## Assumption (†)

$$H^{p,q}(X) = 0 \text{ if } |p - q| > 1.$$

*Holds for curves and surfaces with  $h^{0,2} = 0$ .*

In this case we have an isotropic decomposition

$$H^{\text{odd}}(X) = H^{\bullet, \bullet+1}(X) \oplus H^{\bullet+1, \bullet}(X).$$

# Virasoro fields

Under  $(\dagger)$ , there is a natural conformal element  $\omega$  on  $V_{\bullet}^{\text{pa}}$  and corresponding Virasoro fields  $L_n: V_{\bullet}^{\text{pa}} \rightarrow V_{\bullet}^{\text{pa}}$ .

## Theorem (Bojko-Lim-M)

*Assume  $(\dagger)$ . Under the duality between  $V_{\bullet}^{\text{pa}}$  and  $\mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}}$ , the Virasoro fields  $L_n$  induced by  $\omega$  are dual to the pair Virasoro operators  $L_n^{\text{pa}}$  defined in the algebra of descendents  $\mathbb{D}^{X, \text{pa}}$ .*

# Virasoro fields

## Corollary (Bojko-Lim-M)

- ① A moduli of sheaves  $M$  satisfies the sheaf Virasoro constraints if and only if

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} \in \check{P}_0$$

is a physical state.

- ② A moduli of pairs  $P$  with universal pair  $q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfies the pair Virasoro constraints if and only if

$$[P]_{q^*V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}}^{\text{vir}} \in P_0^{\text{pa}}$$

is a physical state.

## Corollary

The Virasoro constraints are compatible with wall-crossing.

# Results

## Theorem (Bojko-Lim-M)

*The Virasoro constraints hold for the following moduli spaces:*

- 1 *Moduli spaces of stable bundles on curves  $M_C(r, d)$ ;*
- 2 *Moduli spaces of stable torsion-free sheaves  $M_S^H(r, \beta, n)$  on surfaces  $S$  with  $h^{0,1} = h^{0,2} = 0$  and a polarization  $H$ ;*
- 3 *Moduli spaces of stable 1 dimensional sheaves  $M_S^H(\beta, n)$  on surfaces  $S$  with  $h^{0,1} = h^{0,2} = 0$  and a polarization  $H$  (assuming a technical condition necessary for the proof of the relevant wall-crossing formula).*

# Sketch of proof

I will focus on the torsion-free cases (1 and 2).

1. Let  $P_\alpha^t$  be the moduli spaces of Bradlow pairs  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F$ , depending on a parameter  $0 < t < \infty$ ; we will prove by induction on  $\text{rk}(\alpha)$  that  $M_\alpha$  satisfies the sheaf Virasoro constraints and  $P_\alpha^t$  satisfies the pair Virasoro constraints.
2. The base case is when  $\text{rk}(\alpha) = 1$ . In the case of curves, it amounts to proving Virasoro for the symmetric powers of a curve, which can be done directly. For surfaces, it reduces to proving Virasoro constraints for Hilbert scheme of points on  $S$ , which was done previously.
3. For  $s \gg 1$  and  $\text{rk}(\alpha) > 1$  the moduli space  $P_\alpha^s$  becomes empty. The wall-crossing formula between  $s$ -stability and  $t$ -stability writes  $[P_\alpha^t]_{\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}}^{\text{vir}}$  in terms of iterated brackets involving lower rank classes.

# Sketch of proof

4. By induction and the compatibility between wall-crossing and Virasoro,  $P_\alpha^t$  satisfies the pair Virasoro constraints.
5. If  $M_\alpha$  doesn't have strictly semistables and  $0 < t \ll 1$  then  $P_\alpha^t \rightarrow M_\alpha$  is a projective bundle.
6. The projective bundle structure can be used to prove that if  $P_\alpha^t$  satisfies the pair Virasoro then  $M_\alpha$  satisfies the sheaf Virasoro.

Thanks!