

Properties of semiclassical quantization

Recall: $a(x, \xi; h) \in S_{1,0,h}^k$ if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi; h)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{k+|\beta|}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad 0 < h \leq 1.$$

$$\text{Op}_h(a)f(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi,$$

$$\text{Op}_h(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Oscillatory testing

(READ [2w, Theorem 4.19])

Want to recover the symbol a from the operator $\text{Op}_h(a)$.

① Assume $a \in S_{h,1,0}^k$. Denote for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function

$$e_\eta(x) = e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x, \eta \rangle} \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\text{Op}_h(a)e_\eta(x) = e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x, \eta \rangle} \cdot a(x, \eta; h)$$

Here we can apply $\text{Op}_h(a)$ to e_η since $e_\eta \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Proof. Will just do the case $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\text{Op}_h(a)e_\eta(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{e}_\eta\left(\frac{\xi}{h}\right) d\xi.$$

But $\hat{e}_\eta(\xi) = (2\pi)^n \delta(\xi - \frac{\eta}{h})$, so $\hat{e}_\eta\left(\frac{\xi}{h}\right) = (2\pi h)^n \delta(\xi - \eta)$ finishing the proof. \square

② Assume $A : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is continuous and $a \in S_{1,0,h}^k$ satisfy $Ae_\eta(x) = e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x, \eta \rangle} a(x, \eta; h) \quad \forall \eta$

Then $A = \text{Op}_h(a)$

Proof. ~~Put $\hat{B} :=$~~ Take $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$

& ~~put~~ $u(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}\left(\frac{y}{h}\right) e_y(x) dy$

by the Fourier inversion formula. Since A is continuous $S' \rightarrow S'$, $\|e_y\|_S$ is polynomially bounded in y for any seminorm in S' (with the polynomial depending on the seminorm chosen), and \hat{u} is rapidly decaying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Au(x) &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}\left(\frac{y}{h}\right) A e_y(x) dy \\ &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i \langle x, y \rangle} a(x, y; h) \hat{u}\left(\frac{y}{h}\right) dy = \text{Op}_h(a) u. \end{aligned}$$

So $A = \text{Op}_h(a)$ on $S \Rightarrow A = \text{Op}_h(a)$ on S' by density.

Product Rule

READ [2w, Thm 4.11 + 4.17]

Assume $a \in S_{1,0,h}^k$, $b \in S_{1,0,h}^l$. Then $\text{atf } b \in S_{1,0,h}^{k+l}$

such that $\text{Op}_h(a) \text{Op}_h(b) = \text{Op}_h(a \# b)$ and moreover

$$\text{atf } b(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha a(x, \xi; h) \partial_x^\alpha b(x, \xi; h). \quad (\text{PROD})$$

Proof. Will just do the case $a, b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, h -indepolt.

We use oscillatory testing. Define $\forall y,$

$$\text{atf } b(x, \eta; h) = e^{-i \langle x, \eta \rangle} \text{Op}_h(b) e_\eta(x).$$

Note: $\forall_h \text{atf } b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ because $\text{Op}_h(a), \text{Op}_h(b): S' \rightarrow S$. So if we show that $\text{atf } b \in S_{1,0,h}^{k+l}$ & (PROD) holds then the proof is finished.

Using oscillatory testing, we write

$$\text{Op}_h(b) e_y(x) = e_y(x) \cdot b(x, y). \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \# b(x, y; h) &= e^{-\frac{i}{h} \langle x, y \rangle} \text{Op}_h(a) [e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle \cdot, y \rangle} b(\cdot, y)] \\ &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\frac{i}{h} \langle x, y \rangle} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle y, \eta \rangle} b(y, \eta) dy d\xi \\ &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x-y, \xi - \eta \rangle} a(x, \xi) b(y, \eta) dy d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Note: $a \# b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for any fixed h since $a, b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, and the \int is over a compact set.

For fixed x, y , can get an asymptotic expansion for $a \# b(x, y; h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ by Method of Stationary Phase. (MSPh)

Here $\Phi(y, \xi) = \langle x-y, \xi - \eta \rangle$. So, $\nabla \Phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = x, \xi = \eta$. And $\det \nabla^2 \Phi = 1$, $\text{sgn } \nabla^2 \Phi = 0$

(note: $\Phi = \langle y, \xi \rangle + \text{linear terms} + \text{cst terms in } y, \xi$).

So the first term in the expansion is

$$(2\pi h)^{-n} \left[(2\pi h)^n \cdot e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} \cdot 0} \cdot 1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\frac{i}{h} \cdot 0} \cdot a(x, y) b(x, y) \right].$$

For next terms, need to look at the proof of stationary phase - since Φ is quadratic, there is an explicit expansion & it gives (PROD).

Finally, MSPh actually gives an expansion uniform in (x, y) with all (x, y) -derivatives. \square

Basic consequences of (PROD):

$$\textcircled{1} \quad a \# b = ab + h S_{1,0,h}^{k+l-1}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{The Commutator Rule : } [O_{ph}(a), O_{ph}(b)] = O_{ph}(a \# b - b \# a) \&$$

$$a \# b - b \# a = -ih \{a, b\} + h^2 S_{1,0,h}^{k+l-2}$$

$$\text{where } \{a, b\}(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n [\partial_{\xi_j} a(x, \xi) \partial_{x_j} b(x, \xi) - \partial_{x_j} a(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_j} b(x, \xi)]$$

is the Poisson bracket.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{Pseudolocality : Assume } X_1, X_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \text{supp } X_1 \cap \text{supp } X_2 = \emptyset. \text{ Then } \forall a \in S_{1,0,h},$$

$X_1 O_{ph}(a) X_2 = O(h^\infty)$, i.e. it has an ~~integ~~ integral kernel in $h^\infty \cdot C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof. $X_j = O_{ph}(X_j(x))$. Use the Product Rule.

Get $X_1 O_{ph}(a) X_2 = O_{ph}(c)$ where $c = X_1 \# a \# X_2$.

But from (PROD) we see that all terms in the expansion are 0, since $\text{supp } X_1 \cap \text{supp } X_2 = \emptyset$. So $c \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$

which implies that the integral kernel of $O_{ph}(c)$ is in $h^\infty C^\infty$: $O_{ph}(c)f(x) = \int K(x, y; h) f(y) dy$ where

$K \in C^\infty$ & $\sup |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K| \leq C_{\alpha\beta N} h^N \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, N$.

Finally $K \in C_c^\infty$ since $\text{supp } K \subset \text{supp } X_1 \times \text{supp } X_2$. \square

Adjoint Rule: Assume $a \in S_{1,0,h}^k$. Then

$\exists a^* \in S_{1,0,h}^k$ s.t. $\text{Op}_h(a)^* = \text{Op}_h(a^*)$ and

$$a^*(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{\substack{k \\ k=j}} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_x^k \partial_{\xi}^k \overline{a(x, \xi; h)}. \quad (\text{ADJ})$$

Note: here $\text{Op}_h(a)^* = \text{Op}_h(a^*)$ just means that

$$\forall f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \langle \text{Op}_h(a)f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \langle f, \text{Op}_h(a^*)g \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We have for $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\text{Op}_h(a)^* g(y) = (2ih)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle y - x, \xi \rangle} \overline{a(x, \xi; h)} g(x) d\xi dx$$

$$\text{i.e. } \text{Op}_h(a)^* g(x) = (2ih)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x - y, \xi \rangle} \overline{a(y, \xi; h)} g(y) dy d\xi.$$

Use oscillatory testing \rightarrow get

$$a^*(x, \eta; h) = (2ih)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x - y, \xi - \eta \rangle} \overline{a(y, \xi; h)} dy d\xi.$$

Now use Method of Stationary Phase similarly to Product Rule

(even when $a \in C_c^\infty$, need not have $a^* \in C_c^\infty$ but it will be $h^{<\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty}$ away from $\text{supp } a$) \square

Basic consequence of (ADJ): $a^* = \bar{a} + h S_{1,0,h}^{k-1}$.

Mapping Properties

① If $a \in S_{1,0,h}^0$, then $\text{Op}_h(a): S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ restricts to a bdd operator

$$\text{Op}_h(a): L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \quad \&$$

$\|\text{Op}_h(a)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ is bdd uniformly in h ...

Proof in the case $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$:

$\text{Op}_h(a)$ has integral kernel

$$K(x, y; h) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

So if F_ξ denotes the Fourier tr. in ξ only, then

$$K(x, y; h) = (2\pi h)^{-n} F_\xi a(x, \frac{y-x}{h}).$$

However, $F_\xi a$ is Schwartz since $a \in C_c^\infty$.

Use Schur's Inequality: enough to estimate

$$\sup_x \int |K(x, y; h)| dy \leq C$$

$$\sup_y \int |K(x, y; h)| dx \leq C \quad \text{by change of variables...}$$

for general $a \in S_{1,0,h}^0$ this is harder-

See [2w, Theorem 4.23]. \square

Sobolev spaces.

Recall $\langle \xi \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$.

Usual Sobolev space: $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

~~$\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int \langle \xi \rangle^k |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$~~

$$\|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\langle \xi \rangle^k \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2}.$$

Semiclassical Sobolev space: $H_h^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Same as H^k as a space but the norm

is h -dependent: $\|u\|_{H_h^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\langle h\xi \rangle^k \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^2}$.

~~$\|u\|_{H_h^k}^2 = \|u\|_L^2 + \|h^2 \Delta u\|_L^2$~~

For example, $\|u\|_{H_h^2}^2 \approx \|u\|_L^2 + \|h^2 \Delta u\|_L^2$.

Indeed, $LHS^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |h\xi|^2)^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$

$$RHS^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |h\xi|^4) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Or, $\|u\|_{H_h^2}^2 = \|u\|_L^2 + \|h \nabla u\|_L^2$.

Mapping Property ②: If $a \in S_{1,0,h}^k$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

then $\|Op_h(a)\|_{H_h^s \rightarrow H_h^{s-k}} \leq C$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Note that

$$\|u\|_{H_h^s}^2 = \|\langle h \mathcal{D}_x \rangle^s u\|_{L^2} = \|O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^s) u\|_{L^2}.$$

(check it!) And $O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^s) O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^t) = O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{s+t})$
 $= O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{s+t}).$

Then for $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|O_{ph}(a)f\|_{H_h^{s-k}} &= \|O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{s-k}) O_{ph}(a) O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{-s}) O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^s) u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^{s-k} \# a \# \langle \zeta \rangle^{-s}) O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^s) u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|O_{ph}(\langle \zeta \rangle^s) u\|_{L^2} = C \text{ID} O_{ph} C \|u\|_{H_h^s} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle \zeta \rangle^{s-k} \# a \# \langle \zeta \rangle^{-s} \in S_{1,0,h}^\circ$ by Product Rule
 & we used L^2 boundedness.

Ellipticity

We start with a global statement:

Then Assume $a \in S_{1,0,h}^k$ & $\exists c > 0 : |a(x, \zeta; h)| \geq c \langle \zeta \rangle^k$.

Then $\exists b \in S_{1,0,h}^{-k}$ s.t. $a \# b - 1, b \# a - 1 \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$.

In particular, $O_{ph}(a) O_{ph}(b), O_{ph}(b) O_{ph}(a) = I + h^\infty$. smoothing.

Proof. ① $a^{-1} \in S_{1,0,h}^{-k}$: direct verification.

So $O_{ph} O_{ph}(a) O_{ph}(a^{-1}) = O_{ph}(I + r), r \in h S_{1,0,h}^{k-1}$.

Need to invert $I + r \rightarrow$ take the Neumann series: namely
 take $B \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{-1} \# r^j$, here $r \in h S_{1,0,h}^{-k}$. Then $a \# b - 1 \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$

② Take $\tilde{B} \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$ s.t. $\tilde{B} \# a^{-1} \in h^\infty S^{-\infty}$. Then
 $\tilde{B} + h^\infty S^{-\infty} = \tilde{B} \# a \# b = \tilde{B} + h^\infty S^{-\infty} \dots$

D